

SECTION 1.1

COMPANY DESCRIPTION

DATE COMPLETED

7/09/2013

GENERAL INFORMATION

| | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| LEGAL NAME Alpha Circuit Corporation | | | |
| PHYSICAL ADDRESS 730 North Oaklawn Avenue | | | |
| CITY Elmhurst | STATE Illinois | ZIP 60126-4015 | |
| PROVINCE N/A | COUNTRY USA | | |
| TELEPHONE NUMBER 630617-5555 | FAX NUMBER 630-617-5598 | TELEX NUMBER | |
| E-MAIL ADDRESS Alpha@AlphaCircuit.com | MODEM NUMBER | DATE FOUNDED 1981 X PRIVATE | |
| INTERNET URL www.AlphaCircuit.com | FTP SITE FTP.AlphaCircuit.com | | |

MANAGEMENT

| |
|--|
| PRESIDENT Mr. Yash Sutariya |
| Vice-President- Parag Bhatt |
| Plant Manager - Bhart Dungrani |
| Quality Assurance Manager- Don Stevens |
| SALES Manager Steve Ryan |
| Front End Engineering Manger- Joe Ciukaj |
| WASTE TREATMENT Technician (POLLUTION PREVENTION)-Daxa Patel |

| CORPORATE DESCRIPTION | NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES | | COMMENTS |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---|
| | CORPORATE | SITE | |
| DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT | N/A | 730 Oaklawn | No Design work is done in-house |
| ENGINEERING | 4 | 730 Oaklawn | CAD and Production |
| MANUFACTURING CONTROL | 2 | 730 Oaklawn | 1 st Shift and 2 nd |
| MANUFACTURING | DIRECT | 25 | 730 Oaklawn |
| | INDIRECT | 6 | 730 Oaklawn Includes members from below |
| QUALITY CONTROL | QUALITY ENGINEERS | 6 | 730 Oaklawn Includes members from below |
| | INTERNAL AUDITORS | 6 | 730 Oaklawn Includes members from above |
| | GENERAL MANAGEMENT | 3 | 730 Oaklawn |
| ADMINISTRATION | 8 | 730 Oaklawn | Office & Sales included |
| TOTAL | 47 | 730 Oaklawn | Numbers above may be higher than total due to multiple responsibilities per person. |

SECTION 1.2

SITE DESCRIPTION

(TO BE COMPLETED FOR EACH SITE)

DATE COMPLETED 6/28/2013
ATTACH APPROPRIATE CHARTS (OPTIONAL)

| MANUFACTURING FACILITY | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|----------------------|
| COMPANY NAME | | Alpha Circuit Corporation | |
| PHYSICAL ADDRESS 730 North Oaklawn Avenue | | | |
| CITY | Elmhurst | STATE | Illinois |
| PROVINCE | N/A | COUNTRY | USA |
| TELEPHONE NUMBER | 630-617-5555 | FAX NUMBER | 630-617-5598 |
| E-MAIL ADDRESS | Alpha@AlphaCircuit.com | MODEM NUMBER | YEARS IN BUSINESS 32 |
| INTERNET URL | FTP | | |
| PRINCIPLE PRODUCTS/SERVICES/SPECIALTIES Bare Board Printed Circuit Manufacturer Flex/Rigid Flex/Rigid/Multilayer | | BUSINESS CHARACTERIZATION (HIGH VOLUME, QUICK TURN-AROUND, ETC.) Quick Turn to Medium Volume/Technology Driven | |

| FACILITY MANAGEMENT | TITLE | REPORTS TO (Function/Job Title) |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| OVERALL OPERATION RESPONSIBILITY FOR THIS SITE Yash Sutariya | President | N/A |
| MANUFACTURING Parag Bhatt | Vice-President | President |
| TECHNICAL/ENGINEERING Natu Vaghani | Engineering Manager | President and/or Vice-President |
| MATERIALS/PRODUCTION CONTROL Bharat Dungrani | Plant Manager | President and/or Vice-President |
| PURCHASING Ankit Dungrani | Purchasing Manager | President and/or Vice-President |
| QUALITY Don Stevens | Quality Assurance Manager | President |
| SALES REPRESENTATIVE | | Sales Manager |
| WASTE MANAGEMENT Daxa Patel | Laboratory Supervisor | Plant Manager |

| BUILDINGS | SYSTEMS (INDICATE % COVERAGE) | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| | AGE | AREA (Sq. Ft.) | Construction (Wood/Brick) | Power Conditioning | Heating | Ventilation | Air Conditioning | Sprinklers | Waste Treatment | Other |
| Office | 43 | 5K | Brick | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | |
| Manufacturing | 43 | 41K | Brick | 100% | 100% | 100% | 48% | 100% | 100% | AC as process requires |
| Storage | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Planned additions | 0 | | | | | | | | | |

| SAFETY AND REGULATORY AGENCY REQUIREMENTS | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|
| Are fire extinguishers functional and accessible to employees? | X YES | | What is the distance to the nearest fire station? (in minutes) 5 Minutes |
| Do you conform to local/federal environment protection agency requirements? | X YES | | Date of last OSHA visit June 2012 Date of last EPA visit March 2012 |
| Are you currently operating under a waiver or in violation of local government requirements? | X NO | | Other Agency Audits, UL, ISO 9000, NECQ, CSA Approval and Number X UL # E80943 X ISO 9000# A2722US X CSA # ZPMV8. X ITAR CH544 E80943 X TS16949 |
| Do you have a safety program? Describe below. | X YES | | Hazardous Waste Number 203-EB-3274 IEPA Trade Waste Account Number |

| PLANT PERSONNEL (TOTAL EMPLOYEES) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|--------|-----------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|
| Regular | Contract | Office | Technical/Engineering | Production | Full-Time QA | Part-Time QA | Union | Non-Union | Union Name | Contract Expires (Date) |
| 47 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 47 | N/A | N/A |

| COMMENT |
|---------|
| |

SECTION 2.1

PROCESS

| |
|-----------------------------|
| DATE COMPLETED 6/28/2013 |
|-----------------------------|

This section is intended to provide overview information on the processes used to fabricate printed board products.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply)

| Designators | | Remarks |
|-------------|--|---|
| A | Conductor Forming Processes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Subtractive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thin Foil Subtractive less than .5 oz. <input type="checkbox"/> Semi-Additive <input type="checkbox"/> Additive (Electro-less) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Black Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Thick Film Paste and Fire <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Film Semi-conductor Sputtering <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | 1/8 and standard 1/4 ounce foil is used Direct Metalization/Shadow/OMG |
| B | PTH Materials and Processes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acid Copper <input type="checkbox"/> Pyro-Phosphate Copper <input type="checkbox"/> Full Built Electro-Less <input type="checkbox"/> Gold Paste <input type="checkbox"/> Copper Paste <input type="checkbox"/> Gold Conductor Sputtering <input type="checkbox"/> Nickel Conductor Sputtering <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | Automatic plating line/Conforming to IPC-6012A Class 2 & Class 3 and MIL-C-14550 |
| C | Permanent Over-plating <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tin <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tin-Lead <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tin-Nickel Alloy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nickel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nickel Gold (Hard) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Nickel Gold (Soft) <input type="checkbox"/> Conductive Polymer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Carbon Ink/Immersion Silver/OSP | SN100CL/Lead Free Service used ENIG PROCESS Conductive Silver Compliant to IPC-TF-870 |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| D | Permanent Selective Plating | X Tin X Tin-Lead X Tin-Nickel Alloy X Nickel X Nickel Gold (Hard) X Nickel Gold (Soft) Nickel Rhodium <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| E | Permanent Mask or Coating | X Photo Dry Film X Photo Liquid X Image Transfer Screen Mask Conformal Coating Solder Mask X Cover Coat/FLEX <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | Spray Process |
| F | Other Surface Finishes | X Solder Leveled X Azole Organic Protective Covering X Flux Protective Covering X Other:Silver and Conductive Silver Domes | Copper Bump Technology/BGA |

SECTION 2.2

ELECTRICAL TEST EQUIPMENT

DATE COMPLETED
6/28/2013

This section is intended to provide overview information on the test equipment and testing capability of the manufacturer.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check the column that applies furthest to the right.)

| Designators | | | Remarks |
|-------------|-------------------|--|--|
| A | Number of Nets | <200 200 500 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 X >5000 <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | Genesis 2000 CAD Software Compliant to IPC-9252 Compliant to IPC-6012A Class 2 for withstanding voltage, circuit continuity and isolation. |
| B | Number of Nodes | <500 500 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 X >6000 <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| C | Probe Point Pitch | >1.0 [.040] 1.0 [.040] 0.8 [.032] 0.65 [.025] 0.50 [.020] 0.40 [.016] 0.30 [.012] 0.20 [.008] <0.20 [.008] X Other:0.1 (.004) | |

| | | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--|
| D | Test % Single Pass | None X 95% <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| E | Probe Accuracy (DTP) | X 0.1 [.004] <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| F | Grid Density | Single Side Grid X Double Sided Grid Double Density Grid Double Density Double Sided Quad Density Double Sided Quad Density X Flying Probe <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | EMMA/Mania and Fastek systems are used |
| G | Netlist Capability | X Golden Board X IPC-D-356 X Net List Extraction X CAD/CAM Net List Compare <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | As required Auto Comparison at CAD As required As required |
| H | Test Voltage | <20 VDC 20 VDC 40 VDC 60 VDC 80 VDC 100 VDC X 500 VDC <input type="checkbox"/> 1000 VDC <input type="checkbox"/> >1000 VDC <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | + 15, -0 For spacing 80 micrometer or greater |
| J | Impedance Meas | X Micro Section Inboard Circuit X Coupon X Manual TDR Automated TDR <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | Verificatioin as required Serialized Coupon Panelization Single ended/Differential |
| K | Impedance Tolerance | X 10% | Conforming to IPC-2141 Polar ICD Stackup Planner |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | <2% <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
|--|--|--|--|

SECTION 2.3

PRODUCT TYPE

| |
|-----------------------------|
| DATE COMPLETED 6/28/2013 |
|-----------------------------|

This section is intended to provide overview information on the printed board product types being fabricated by the manufacturer.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply.)

| Designators | | | Remarks |
|-------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| A | Product Type | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rigid Printed Board <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flex Printed Board <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rigid/Flex Board <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rigid Back Plane <input type="checkbox"/> Molded Product <input type="checkbox"/> Ceramic Printed Board <input type="checkbox"/> Multichip Module <input type="checkbox"/> Laminated Multichip Module <input type="checkbox"/> Deposited Dielectric Multichip Modules <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | We fabricate Heavy Copper/Thermal Managed Bds/Blind & Buried Vias/Internal Cavity/Bump BGA/Core Bds and Edge Plated Bds Rigid/Multilayer/Flex and Rigid Flex product. |
| B | Circuit Mounting Type | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single Sided <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Double Sided <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multilayer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Single-sided Bonded to Substrate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Double-sided Bonded to Substrate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Multilayer Bonded to Substrate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Constrained Multilayer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Distributed Plane Multilayer <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| C | Via Technology | <input type="checkbox"/> No-Vias <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thru Hole Vias <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Buried Vias <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blind Vias <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thru Hole & Blind Vias] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thru Hole & Buried Vias <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Thru Hole Buried & Blind Vias <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Buried & Blind Vias <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Sequential Via Construction | We daily fabricate a wide range of VIA TECHNOLOGY from Blind/Buried to Sequential. |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| H | Coatings and Markings | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ≤ 0.1 mm Mask Clearance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> > 0.1 mm Mask Clearance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> One Side (Legend) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Two Side (Legend) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None (Legend) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UL Material Logo <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.L. V ₀ Logo <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.L. V ₁ Logo <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.L. V ₂ Logo <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | In addition to GREEN we provide Blue/Yellow/Red/Black and White LPI Mask. |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|

SECTION 2.4

PRODUCT COMPLEXITY

| |
|-----------------------------|
| DATE COMPLETED 6/28/2013 |
|-----------------------------|

This section is intended to provide overview information on product complexity being fabricated by the manufacturer.

(Please check the column that applies farthest to the right)

| Designators | | | Remarks |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| A | Board Size Diagonal | X 0 [25.50] | Majority of production |
| | | X >850 [33.50] | In low volume |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| B | Total Board Thickness | X >6,5 [.250] | Numerous flex projects exceed 0.250" in overall thickness. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| C | Number Conductive Layers | X 25-28 | We have fabricated 28 layer boards. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> >28 | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| D | Dia Drilled Holes | X <0,15 [.006] | We have drilled and plated (0.004") diameter holes in 0.031" FR-4 for Multilayer Construction. |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| E | Total PTH TOL (Max-Min) | X 0,100 [.004] | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| F | Hole Location TOL DTP | X <0,10 [.004] | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| G | Internal Layer Clearance (Min) | X <0,075 [.003] | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| H | Internal Layer Conductor Width (Min) | X 0,050 [.002] | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| J | Internal Layer Process Allowance | X 0,025 [.001] | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| K | External Layer Clearance (Min) | X <0,075 [.003] | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| L | External Layer Conductor Width (Min) | X 0,050 [.002] <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| M | External Layer Process Allowance | X 0,025 [.001] <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |
| N | Feature Location DTP | X 0,10 [.004] <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

All Dimensions are in millimeters [inches shown in brackets]

SECTION 2.5

QUALITY DEVELOPMENT

| |
|-----------------------------|
| DATE COMPLETED 7/09/2013 |
|-----------------------------|

This section is intended to provide overview information on the quality systems in place in the manufacturing facility.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply.)

| Designators | | | Remarks |
|-------------|----------------------|---|---------|
| A | Strategic Plan | X Functional Steering Committee Formed X Documented Quality Progress Review X Implementation & review of Project Team Recommendations X TQM Communicated throughout organization X Controlled New process Start-up X Total TQM Plan/Involvement Customer Training <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | MRB |
| B | Employee Involvement | X Certified Training Available X Training of Employee Base X Design of Experiment Training and Use X New Process Implementation Training X Support Personnel Training X Quality Functional Deployment X Ongoing Improvement Program for Employees <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | |

| | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| C | Quality Manual | <p>X All Manufacturing and support depts. have controlled quality manual</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Other:</p> | Alpha has completed our ISO/TS16949:2009 audit and has been recommended for certification. |
| D | Instructions | <p>Work Instructions Started</p> <p>X Quality and work Instruct. Completed, Controlled</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Other:</p> | |
| E | SPC Implementation IPC-9191 | <p>Plan Exists</p> <p>X Several Major Processes Stable & Capable</p> <p>X Continued Improvement of Stable Processes</p> <p>X All Processes Under Control</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Other:</p> | |
| F | Supplier Programs/Controls | <p>X Supplier Rating Program</p> <p>X Key Problems Identified</p> <p>X Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided</p> <p>X 25% of Suppliers Using SPC</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/>Other:</p> | |
| G | Third Party IPC-QS-95 | <p>Instrument Controls in Place</p> <p>X Measurement System in Control IPC-9191</p> <p>X Document Controls in Place</p> <p>Reduced Lot Sampling</p> | |

X ISO-9001
X Other:ISO/TS 16949:2009

SECTION 3

EQUIPMENT PROFILE (Pre-Site Audit)

DATE COMPLETED
6/28/2013

* Examples of equipment limitations include:
min/max board size & min/max working area

| 3.1 PHOTOTOOL CAPABILITY | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|---|-----|----|--|-----|---------------------------------|
| A) AOI of phototool | X | | CAMTEK Orion 860 Orbotech SK-75 | 1 | State of the Art/purchased 2012 |
| B) AOI CAD reference (CAM) | X | | | 1 | |
| C) Photoplotting | X | | FIRST EIE | 1 | |
| D) Photo reductions | X | | | | |
| E) Film scan and conversion | X | | | | |
| F) Film processing air-dried force-dried processed in automatic processor | X | | GLUNZ & JENSEN Pro Silver Processor | 1 | |
| G) Media types silver halide film glass diaz | X | | Silver/diazo | | |

| 3.2 DRILLING EQUIPMENT | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|--|---------------|------------------|
| A) Manual | | X | | | |
| B) Optical (single spindle) | | X | | | |
| C) N.C. drill | X | | Excellon/Plutitec/Mania/ESI All air bearing | 8 machines | 20 spindles |

| 3.3 ROUTING EQUIPMENT | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|------------|-----|------------------|
| A) Edge beveler | X | | Edgemaster | | |
| B) Hand router (pin router) | | X | | | |
| C) N.C. router | X | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|---|--------------|
| D) N.C. driller/router | X | | Excellon | 6 | 21 Spindles |
| E) Scoring (profile) | X | | Accusystem AS150 JE Ver.4 | 1 | |
| F) Scoring (straight line) | X | | Accusystem AS150 | | Jump Scoring |

| 3.4 MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|--------------------------|-----|----|--------------------------------|--------|------------------|
| A) Punch press | | X | Removed | | |
| B) Shear | X | | JET Equipment | 3 | |
| C) Milling machine | X | | ENCO Lathe South Bend Lathe | 1 1 | |

| 3.5 HOLE PREPARATION (DESMEAR) | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|--------------------------------|-----|----|---------------|-----|--------------------|
| A) Permanganate | X | | Hoist System | | Western Technology |
| B) Plasma | X | | 2 Units/March | | |
| C) Mechanical | | X | | | |
| D) Etchback | X | | | | |

| 3.6 PRIMARY IMAGE APPLICATION | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|
| A) Dry film | X | | Manual/Automatic | 3 | DuPont & Morton Cut Sheet Systems |
| B) Hand screening | X | | | 2 | |
| C) Machine screening | X | | | 1 | |
| D) Wet film | | X | | | |
| E) Liquid photoimageable | | X | | | |

| 3.7 TYPE OF TREATMENT FOR MULTILAYER INNERLAYERS | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|--|-----|----|-----------|-----|------------------|
| A) Black oxide | | X | | | |
| B) Red oxide | | X | | | |
| C) Copper scrub | X | | Pumice | | IS Conveyorized |

| | | | | | |
|-------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------|
| D) Durabond | | X | | | |
| E) Other | X | | Automatic Brown Oxide Conveyorized Line | 1 | Western Technology |

| 3.8 LAMINATION | YES | NO | MATERIAL | QTY | APPLICATION TECHNIQUE |
|-----------------------|-----|----|----------------------------|-----|--|
| A) High pressure | X | | 2 Vacuum systems /1 Manual | | 14 heated openings |
| B) High temperature | X | | | | 500 degree F MAX |
| C) Vacuum | X | | | | TMP 5 opening with cooldown 30 inch x 30 inch Platens |
| D) Vacuum assist | X | | | | 4 opening with cooldown |
| E) Foil heat assist | | X | | | |
| F) Separate cool-down | X | | | | Both Vacuum systems |

| 3.9 ELECTROLESS COPPER PLATING | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|---|-----|----|-----------------|-----|------------------|
| A) Fully additive application | X | | Manual Dip Line | 2 | |
| B) Electroless deposition (semiadditive) | X | | | | |
| C) Through-hole and via | X | | | | |

| 3.10 COPPER ELECTROPLATING | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----|--|
| A) Copper sulfate | X | | Automatic Hoist Line | 1 | Global Line 16 to 1 aspect ratio Per IPC-6012A, Class 2 and 3 |
| B) Pyrophosphate | | X | | | |
| C) Copper fluoborate | | X | | | |
| D) Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | | |

| 3.11 TIN/LEAD SURFACE PLATINGS/COATINGS | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|--|-----|----|-----------|-----|------------------|
| A) Tin/lead electroplated | | X | Tin only | 1 | Global Line |
| B) Immersion tin or tin/lead | | X | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| (electroless) | | | | | |
| C) Hot air solder leveled (HASL) | X | | Argus Vertical Line 5224 | | |

| 3.12 FUSING PROCESSES | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|-----------------------------------|-----|------------------|
| A) I.R. reflow | | X | | | |
| B) Hot oil reflow | | X | | | |
| C) Horizontal (hot air level) | | X | | | |
| D) Vertical (hot air level) | X | | Argus HASL and Latronic Lead Free | | |

| 3.13 NICKEL SURFACE PLATING | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|-----------------|-----|------------------|
| A) Electroless nickel | X | | Hoist supported | | |
| B) Electroplated nickel | | X | | | |

| 3.14 GOLD SURFACE PLATING | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|---------------------------|-----|----|---------------------------|-----|------------------|
| A) Electroless gold | X | | ENIG LINE/hoist supported | | |
| B) Electroplated gold | | X | | | |

| 3.15 PALLADIUM SURFACE PLATING | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|-----------|-----|------------------|
| A) Electroless palladium (immersion) | | X | | | |
| B) Electroplated palladium | | X | | | |

| 3.16 SOLDERMASK | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|--------------------------------|-----|----|--|-----|------------------|
| A) Screened deposited image | X | | | | |
| B) Dry film photoimageable | | X | | | |
| C) Liquid photoimageable | X | | Argus Spray Conveyorized System With IR Oven | 1 | |
| D) Dry film/liquid combination | X | | | | |

| 3.17 ORGANIC SURFACE PROTECTION | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|---------------------------------|-----|----|------------------------------------|-----|------------------|
| A) Benzotriazole | X | | Organic Solderability Preservative | | |
| B) Imidazole | | X | | | |
| C) Benzimidazole | | X | | | |

| 3.18 MICROSECTION CAPABILITY | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|---|-----|------------------|
| A) Manual | X | | | | |
| B) Single cavity automated | X | | | | |
| C) Multiple cavity automated | X | | | | |
| D) Plating thickness analysis | X | | Fischer X-Ray Florescence XRX-SD MRX Analysis PTX-200 | | |

| 3.19 CHEMICAL ANALYSIS | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|----------------------------|-----|----|---|-----|------------------|
| A) Etching chemistry | X | | Full Lab including Perkin-Elmer AA Unit | | |
| B) Plating chemistry | X | | Full Lab including Perkin-Elmer AA Unit | | |
| C) Effluent (PPM) analysis | X | | Full Lab including Perkin-Elmer AA Unit | | |

| 3.20 ELECTRICAL TEST EQUIPMENT | YES | NO | EQUIPMENT | QTY | EQUIPMENT LIMITS |
|--------------------------------|-----|----|---|--------|------------------|
| A) Continuity and shorts | X | | Mania/Microcraft/Fastek | 7 | |
| B) Fixture development | X | | In-house for Fastek 300 and 210 | 2 | |
| C) Flying probe test | X | | Mania with Scan System Microcraft Emma | 4 1 | |
| D) Impedance control | | X | Cross section | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

SECTION 4

TECHNOLOGY PROFILE SPECIFICS

| |
|-----------------------------|
| DATE COMPLETED 7/09/2013 |
|-----------------------------|

4.1 ADMINISTRATION

| 4.1.1 CAPACITY PROFILE | EST % | COMMENTS |
|--|-------------|---|
| A) Total annual capacity in square meters (surface area) per month | 2,500-5,000 | The capacity range reflects our multilayer program as the designated growth area. |
| B) Presently running at ____ % of capacity | 40% | For Rigid and Multilayer processes |

| 4.1.2 PERCENTAGE OF DOLLAR VOLUME | EST % | COMMENTS |
|-------------------------------------|-------|----------|
| A) Single sided (rigid) | 3% | |
| B) Double sided (rigid) | 55% | |
| C) Multilayer (rigid) | 22% | |
| D) Single side (unreinforced-flex) | 5% | |
| E) Double sided (unreinforced-flex) | 8% | |
| F) Multilayer (unreinforced-flex) | 3% | |
| G) Multilayer (rigid/flex) | 4% | |

| 4.1.3 PANEL PRODUCTION PROFILE | UNITS PER MONTH |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| A) Size of a production lot in panels | Panel defined as 18 x 24 or 3 sq ft regardless of single/double or multilayer construction. |
| 1) Normal | 25 |
| 2) Smallest | 2 |
| B) Number of panels per month | |
| 1) High Production 40-100 PNLS | 668 |
| 2) Medium Production 15-39 PNLS | 1,564 |
| 3) Low Production 6-14 PNLS | 748 |
| Short run 3-5 PNLS | 720 |
| 4) Prototype 1-2 PNLS | 300 |

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| C) Average lead time (delivery) as defined in B) | | | |
| 1) High Production | 10-15 days | | |
| 2) Medium Production | 5-10 days | | |
| 3) Low Production | 3-7 days | | |
| 3) Short run | 3-5 days | | |
| 4) Prototype | 1-3 days | | |
| Quick turn - No. of days <u>same</u> . | | | |
| D) Product delivered in full panel or array sub-panel format | | | |
| 1) Total in panel or array format | 90% (Scored and/or CNC Routed) | | |
| 2) Scored format | 70% | | |
| 3) Tab breakaway format | 30% | | |
| 4) Other | Per customer specification | | |
| 5) Total to customer layout | 60% | | |
| 6) Total to manufacturing layout | 40% Customer required to sign-off on layout | | |
| E) Product delivered in board format | | | |
| 1) Total in board format | 10% Single pcs | | |
| 2) Extracted: scored to size | 5% | | |
| 3) Extracted: sheared to size | 1% Very seldom specified | | |
| 4) Extracted: routed to size | 95% of the single pcs shipped are CNC Routed and extracted | | |
| 4.1.4 APPROVAL AND CERTIFICATION | YES | NO | COMMENTS |
| A) Company approvals | | | |
| 1) UL approval | X | | 94V Level 0, 1,2. {E80943} |
| 2) Canadian standards | X | | 94V Level 0,1,2 |
| 3) MIL-P-55110 | | X | Mil-31032 planned for Winter 2013 |
| 4) MIL-P-50884 | | X | |
| 5) ISO-9002 | | X | |
| 6) ISO-9001 | X | | |
| 7) ISO-14000 | | X | |
| 8) BABT | | X | |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | |
| 9) EEC | | X | |
| 10) Customer satisfaction | X | | 98% in 2012 |
| B) Other certification information | | | |
| 1)Laminate | X | | 100% CAF Resistant |
| 2)Quality standards | X | | CERTIFICATION audit completed for ISO/TS 16949:2009 |
| 3)Equipment calibration | X | | Per ISO |

| 4.1.5 CUSTOMER INTERFACE PROFILE | YES | NO | COMMENTS |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|---|
| A) Modem capability | X | | |
| B) Baud rate | | | |
| C) Data verification technique | X | | Genesis 2000 (3 work stations) NC CAM6 Fabrication Station |
| D) Engineering change order process | X | | Per ISO Procedure/TS16949 |
| E) Job status reporting to customers | X | | Supported by BACON scan system |

| 4.1.6 OTHER CAPABILITIES | YES | NO | COMMENTS |
|--|-----|----|--|
| A) Facility research and development | X | | 4-28 Layer/Flex and Rigid Flex Technology Driven |
| B) (Automated) On-line shop floor control/MRP system | X | | |
| C) Process control system | X | | |
| D) Operator training system | X | | |

4.2 PROCESS ORIENTATION

| 4.2.1 LAMINATE MATERIAL | EST % | COMMENTS |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| A) Most commonly used laminates (G10, FR4, etc.) | 75% 10% 10% 5% | Brand name Ventec Type FR-4 Tg170 Brand name ITEQ Type FR-4 Brand name Panasonic Type FR-4 Brand name NanYa Type FR-4 |
| B) Other laminate material | | CEM-1, CEM-3, Flex/Rigid Flex Materials |
| 1) Planar resistor layers | <1% | UL approved <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2) BT epoxy | | UL approved <input type="checkbox"/> Fabricated per customer request |
| 3) Kevlar | | UL approved <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4) Teflon | <1% | UL approved <input type="checkbox"/> Fabricated per customer request |
| 5) Polyimide | 10% | UL approved <input type="checkbox"/> Fabricated per customer request/High Density Flex/Rigid Flex |
| 6) Cyanate ester | <1% | UL approved <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7) Other | | UL approved <input type="checkbox"/> |
| C) Specification to which laminate is purchased (check all that apply) X MIL-P-13949 <input type="checkbox"/> IPC-4204 X IPC-4101 X UL Approved <input type="checkbox"/> IPC-4103 <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/> IPC-4202 <input type="checkbox"/> IPC-4203 | | |
| D) Laminate storage Uncontrolled X Humidity controlled X Temperature controlled X Dry box X JIT inventory | | Walk in pre-preg cooler/temperature & Humidity controlled |
| E) Panel size configurations in X, Y dimensions maximum X 508 mm Y 609 mm minimum X 304.8 mm Y 304.8 mm other X _____ Y _____mm | | Majority of panels 18 x 24, and 12 x18; Can run up to 30"x30" |

| 4.2.2 PROCESS PRECISION SPECIFICS | YES | NO | VALUE | COMMENTS |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------|---|
| A) Maximum printed board thickness built in volume | | | | |
| 1) Single sided | X | | 0.062" | Fabricated per commercially available thickness ranges |
| 2) Double sided | X | | 0.125" | |
| 3) Multilayer | | | 0.250" | Per specification |
| 4) Rigid flex | | | 0.250" | Per specification |
| B) Printed board electrical performance capability | | | | |
| 1) Impedance control | X | | | Conforming to IPC-2141 |
| 2) Capacitance control | | X | | Working on buried Capacitance and Annular Buried Resistors |
| 3) Microstrip boards | X | | | Supported by Calculator |
| C) Tooling system description | | | | |
| 1) Same holes in panels used for all processes | X | | | Same Yes/however Multiple tooling holes (meaning more than the usual four) are far more accurate and are used |
| 2) Optical registration | X | | | Computer guided registration system with two hole and four hole capacity |
| 3) Other | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | Advanced Multiline systems |

| 4.2.3 OTHER PROCESS ORIENTATION SPECIFICS | YES | NO | SYSTEM | COMMENTS |
|---|-----|----|----------------------------|--|
| A) Solder mask over bare copper | X | | | 100% |
| B) Plating/coating information | | | | ENIG/Immersion Silver/Immersion Nickel/OSP/HASL/LF-HASL in-house |
| 1) Tin/lead reflow | | X | | Discontinued process |
| 2) Hot air leveling | X | | | In-house HASL and Lead Free systems (SN-100CL) |
| 3) Azole organic | X | | OSP | |
| 4) Conductive | X | | Silver paste Carbon Ink | |
| C) Hole formation | | | | |
| 1) Hole cleaning | X | | | High pressure/Plasma/Permanganate |
| 2) Hole cleanliness verified | X | | | Cross section |

4.3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

*CONSISTENCY IMPLIES YIELDS IN EXCESS OF 80%

| 4.3.1. THROUGH HOLE INSERTION | EST % | SIZE (MM) - +/- TOL | COMMENTS |
|---|-------|---|--|
| A) Smallest conductor width and tolerance produced with consistency | | | |
| 1) Outer layers (print and etch) | 98% | Size .0508 mm Tol ± .005.mm | |
| 2) Inner layers (print and etch) | 98% | Size .0508 mm Tol ± .005.mm | |
| 3) Outer layers (plated) | 93% | Size .0508 mm Tol ± .005 .mm | |
| 4) Inner layers (plated) | 95% | Size .0508 mm Tol ± .005 .mm | |
| 5) Outer layers (additive plating) | N/A | | |
| 6) Inner layers (additive plating) | N/A | | |
| B) Smallest plated-through hole (PTH) and tolerance consistently produced in 1.5mm thickness material or multilayer board | 98% | 0.0889 mm +/- .025 mm | 0.00349" +/- 0.001" Registration supported by Glenbrook X-Ray systems Cross section supported by two scope systems with camera |
| 1) Minimum PTH diameter | 95% | Size 0.0762 mm Tol ± .0381.mm | |
| 2) Largest panel where this hole can be controlled (across diagonal) | 90% | Size 457.2 mm x 609.6 mm Tol ±0.05 .mm | 18 X 24 |
| C) Largest hole size that can be drilled and plated through in a 1.25mm diameter land while maintaining an annular ring of 0.125mm in large/small boards | 99% | 1.0612 mm | |
| 1) Largest board size (across diagonal) | 100% | Size <u>711</u> mm | |
| 2) Largest hole diameter | 100% | Size 0.762 mm | |
| 3) Smallest board size (across diagonal) | 100% | Size 5.06 mm | |
| 4) Largest hole diameter | 100% | Size 0.762 mm | |
| D) Surface mount land pattern pitch (check all that apply) X 1.27mm [.050] X 0.63mm [.025] X 0.5mm [.020] X 0.4mm [.016] X 0.3mm [.012] X 0.25mm [.010] <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ . | | | We are producing SMT spacing: Min Quad Pack with PITCH of 0.016" |

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| E) Solder mask dam between lands (check all that apply) X 1.27mm [.050] X 0.63mm [.025] X 0.5mm [.020] X 0.4mm [.016] X 0.3mm [.012] X 0.25mm [.010] Other .003" | | | | | |
| F) Flatness tolerance (bow & twist) after reflow or solder coating 1.5% 1.0% 0.5% Other 0.75% | | | | | |
| 4.3.2 PRODUCT QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE INFORMATION | YES | NO | QUANTITY OF PANELS | NUMBER of DIMENSION | COMMENTS |
| A) Multilayer layer count | | | | | |
| 1) Maximum layers fabricated in volume (Maximum Lot) | | | 50 | 18 x 24 | (max 50 panel count for Lot Control) |
| 2) Maximum layers fabricated in prototype (Minimum Lot) | | | 1 | 18 x 24 | |
| B) Buried vias produced consistently in volume | X | | | | |
| 1) Size | | | | 0.1016 mm | .0039" |
| 2) Number of layers | | | | 28 | As specific |
| B) Blind vias produced consistently in volume | X | | | | We fabricate: Low aspect Blind Via/Stacked Via/ Sequentially Laminated Microvias. |
| 1) Size | | | | 0.1016 mm | .0039" |
| 2) Number of layers | | | | 16 | As specified |
| 1) Controlled depth drilling | X | | | | Approximately 250,000 Limited Depth holes per week |
| 2) Total number of layers | | | | 28 | |

4.4. TESTING CAPABILITY

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|---|--|--|
| 4.4.1 TEST AND TEST EQUIPMENT CAPABILITY | YES | NO | COMMENTS | | |
| A) SMT centerline pitch that can be electrically tested X 0.63mm [.025] X 0.5mm [.020] X 0.4mm [.016] X 0.3mm [.012] X 0.25mm [.010] <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | | | | |
| B) Double sided simultaneous electrical testing | X | | | | |
| 1) Equipment type | | | Microcraft EMMA-High Speed probe tester and verification station Mania Technologie- Ultim 8 High Speed Probe Tester Mania Technologie- LOC 8 Mania Technologie- Exceler 8 Scanner Mania Technologie- Speedy Probe Fastek 300 Fixture Bare Board Tester Fastek 210 Fixture Bare Board Tester | | |
| 2) X-ray fluorescence inspection equipment | X | | Fischer XRX-SD Metal Coating Tester | | |
| 3) TDR equipment | X | | ICD Stackup Planner | | |
| 4) Hi-pot test equipment | | X | | | |

| | | | |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | |
| 5) Four-wire kelvin tester | | X | |
| 6) Capacitance meter | X | | |
| 7) Cleanliness testing | X | | Alpha Metal 500M SMD11 Ionic Test System |

| 4.4.2 AUTOMATED OPTICAL INSPECTION USAGE | EST % | COMMENTS |
|--|-------|---|
| A) Before etching | 5% | CAMTEK AOI PR1026700 Orion 860 ORBOTECH AOI SK-75 |
| B) After etching | 100% | |
| C) Internal layers | 100% | |
| D) Final inspection | 75% | |
| E) Other | | |
| F) Conductor/clearance normally inspected by AOI equipment | | |
| 1) X 0.05mm [.002] | | |
| 2) X 0.05-.10mm [.002-.004] | | |
| 3) X>.10mm [.004] | | |
| 4) X Planes | | |
| G) CAD download to AOI | 100% | Genesis 2000 (3 work stations) NC CAM6 Fabrication Station |

SECTION 5

QUALITY PROFILE

| |
|-----------------------------|
| DATE COMPLETED 6/28/2013 |
|-----------------------------|

GENERAL INFORMATION

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| COMPANY NAME Alpha Circuit Corporation | |
| CONTACT Don Stevens | |
| TELEPHONE NUMBER 630-617-5555 Ext 117 | FAX NUMBER 630-617-5598 |

This section of the Manufacturer's Qualification Profile is intended to describe the Total Quality Management (TQM) activity in place of being implemented at the manufacturing facility identified in the site description of this MQP.

To ease in the task of identifying the TQM program being planned or underway at the manufacturing site, the activities have been divided into twenty sections which when completed, provide the total picture of the posture toward managing quality issues. Each section contains a number of questions with regard to the topic under review.

It is not the intent to have the questions be all encompassing, nor is every question applicable to all manufacturers. However, identification of the status, related to each questions, when considered as a whole will convey an impression of the progress that the company has achieved in adopting the principles of total quality management.

The twenty sections, in order of the occurrence are:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| 5.1 General Quality Programs | 5.11 Statistical Process Control |
| 5.2 New Products/Technical Services | 5.12 Problem Solving |
| 5.3 Customer Satisfaction | 5.13 In-Process Control |
| 5.4 Computer Integrated Manufacturing | 5.14 Receiving Inspection |
| 5.5 Process Documentation | 5.15 Material Handling |
| 5.6 Quality Records | 5.16 Non-Conforming Material Control |
| 5.7 Skill, Training & Certification | 5.17 Inspection and Test Plan |
| 5.8 Subcontractor Control | 5.18 Product Inspection/Final Audit |
| 5.9 Calibration Control | 5.19 Tooling Inspection, Handling, & Storage |
| 5.10 Internal Audits | 5.20 Corrective Action |

Each section provides a status report related to each question. The question may not be applicable, no activity has started as yet, or the company may have developed an approach to the issues raised by the questions. An (X) is indicated in the appropriate column. If deployment/implementation has started, the status is reported as percent deployment; this is indicated in column 4. The percentage number closely approximates the status of deployment. If deployment exists, the percentage results that have been achieved is indicated in column 5. Results are based on expected goals. Not providing percent information in either the deployment or results column implies a lack of activity in the particular area.

The quality descriptions requested are completed on the following pages by checking (X) the appropriate column to reflect the status of the manufacturing facility TQM program. Additional information may be provided as comments shown below, or on individual sections, or additional sheets as necessary.

COMMENTS

| |
|---|
| Our goal is to be a technology driven rigid and flex board fabricator. We understand and fully support the critical role of quality in our program. |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 5.1 GENERAL QUALITY PROGRAMS | | STATUS | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are quality objectives and responsibilities clearly stated, widely distributed and understood through the company? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Is there a quality function or well defined organization which provides customer advocate guidance to the total organization and is this position fully supported by management? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Does a quality measurement system exist with clearly defined metrics and is it utilized as a management tool? | | | X | 100 | 85 |
| 4. | Are work instructions approved and controlled; and are they under revision control? | | | X | 100 | 85 |
| 5. | Are the quality procedures and policies current and available at the point of application; and are they under revision control? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Are benchmark and customer satisfaction studies done to determine best in class for all products, services, and administrative functions; and are quality goals set? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Are Statistical Process Control (SPC) principles understood by all levels of management? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Are there programs with sufficient resources assigned to support corrective actions and prevention? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Does management solicit and accept feedback from the work force? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Is there management support of ongoing training (including quality training), and is it documented by an organizational training plan? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 11. | Are there regular management reviews of elements of the quality improvement process, including feedback for corrective action, and are the results acted upon? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 12. | Are the quality and reliability goals aggressive relative to customer expectations and targeted at continuous improvement? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 13. | Are the people who are responsible for administering the quality assurance function technically informed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 14. | Does Management have a "defect prevention" attitude to achieve continuous improvement? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| 5.2 NEW PRODUCTS/TECHNICAL SERVICES | | STATUS | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Do new product/technology/service development policies and procedures exist, and do they result in clearly defined project plans with appropriate measureables and approvals? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Is quantitative benchmarking used to evaluate all new products/technologies/services in comparison to best-in-class offerings? | | | X | 100 | 90 |
| 3. | Does a roadmap exist to ensure continued development of leading edge, best-in-class products/technology/services? | | | X | 100 | 95 |
| 4. | Is the capability of each operation which controls critical-to-function characteristics for new products, fully certified? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are statistical tools used in the development of robust (high yield) new processes, products, and services? | | | X | 90 | 90 |
| 6. | When new product/technology/service requires a new process, is it developed jointly and concurrently with the customer and/or suppliers? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Are design reviews conducted on a scheduled basis which properly address the process capability indices of critical-to-function and product/service characteristics? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Is the new product/technology/service, as produced by the process, verified to meet all customer satisfaction requirements? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

COMMENTS

The ranking in this section (5.2) is an indication of the development of our multilayer, flex and rigid flex programs.

| 5.3 CUSTOMER SATISFACTION | | STATUS | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Is there a measurement system in place to assess the customer's perception of complete performance? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Is an independent (unbiased) customer survey routinely conducted? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Is there an internal measurement system within the organization which correlates to the level of customer satisfaction? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are there specific goals for achieving Total Customer Satisfaction, both internal and external? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | To what extent are customer satisfaction goals disseminated and understood by everyone in the organization? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Does management regularly review and assess all operating systems to determine if barriers to customer satisfaction exist and are appropriate action plans then implemented? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Is there a method in place to obtain future customer requirements? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Are all findings of customer dissatisfaction reported back to the proper organization for analysis and corrective action? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Are customer satisfaction requirements formally defined and documented, and are they based on customer input? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Do all support organizations understand their role in achieving total customer satisfaction? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| 5.4 COMPUTER INTEGRATED MANUFACTURING | | STATUS | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are systems integrated to allow electronic transfer of information between multiple systems to eliminate redundant data entry? | | | X | 100 | 95 |
| 2. | Can customers electronically transfer CAD/CAM directly into manufacturing? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Can customers electronically transfer order information directly into the business system? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Is data electronically shared between shop floor control and process control systems (i.e., CNC, SPC, Electrical Test, AOI, etc.)? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are planning systems (MRP, forecasting, capacity planning, financial planning, etc.) electronically integrated with operation systems (order processing, purchasing, inventory management, shop floor control, financial/cost control, etc.)? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Is information available from system processes in real time (vs. batch processing)? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Are processes and procedures documented and available on-line? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Do all functional departments have system access to key financial, manufacturing, sales, and operational data, as it relates to their functional objectives? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Are computer simulation and design tools used to the maximum extent practicable in the design of new products/technologies/services | | | X | 100 | 100 |

COMMENTS

#2- Customer CAD/CAM data is always verified and signed off before being sent to manufacturing.
 #6- We have a fully integrated bar code scanning system supported as necessary by operator signoff.
 #7- All processes are documented per ISO/TS1649 requirements.
 #9- Alpha does not provide design services. Computer integration is followed for new technology and/or services.
 One of our company goals is to become a paperless facility.

| 5.5 PROCESS DOCUMENTATION | | STATUS | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are manufacturing product, process, and configuration documents under issue control? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are "preliminary" and "special product" specifications controlled? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Does the system ensure that the most current customer specifications are available to the manufacturing personnel? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Does the system ensure that the most current material specifications are available to the procurement function? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are incoming orders reviewed for revisions and issue changes? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Is conformance to customer specifications assured before an order is accepted? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Is customer feedback provided when designs do not meet manufacturability requirements? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Are critical characteristics classified, relative to impact on product performance? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Are customers informed of changes made to products controlled by customer drawings or specifications? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Is there an effective internal deviation control procedure and, are customer requested deviations documented and followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 11. | Do new product development procedures exist, and are they followed in the design development process? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| 5.6 QUALITY RECORDS | | STATUS | | | | |
|------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are records of inspection and process control maintained and available for review? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are records of equipment and equipment maintenance kept? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Is the record and sample retention program defined? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are quality data used as a basis for corrective action? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are quality data used in reporting performance and trends to management? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Are quality data used in supporting certifications of quality furnished to customers? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Is field information used for corrective action? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Does a cost of quality measurement system exist? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Are customer reported quality problems responded to, and resolved in the time period requested? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Is quality information on production material rejects provided to sub-suppliers with required corrective action? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 11. | Are computers used to collect and analyze quality data? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

COMMENTS

5.5 {#7& #9} -Customer sign-off is required. #9 No modification is allowed without written customer approval with the exception of artwork compensation for shrinkage and etch factors and silkscreen modifications.

5.6 {#2}-These records are supported by several outside calibration services as required.

{#8}- The cost of the calibration system is reviewed per internal audit requirements.

{#11}- Our entire calibration system has been developed as a spreadsheet program.

| 5.7 SKILLS, TRAINING, & CERTIFICATION | | STATUS | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Does management ensure that all personnel are trained in their role for achieving Total Customer Satisfaction? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Do all personnel understand how their performance impacts internal and external customer satisfaction? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Do all personnel who contact external customers reflect quality improvement programs? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Do personnel participate in professional societies and growth programs? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are all personnel trained in sufficient detail to support key initiatives? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Are the results of training evaluated and indicated program changes made? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Does a policy exist which encourages the cross training and rotation of personnel, and is this policy used as the basis of job progression? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Are performance standards participatively developed, and regularly applied for all personnel? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Are Total Customer Satisfaction programs and resulting successes publicized to all personnel? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Do goal setting and reward/incentive programs support the quality improvement process? | N/A | | | | |

| 5.8 SUBCONTRACTOR CONTROL | | STATUS | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are requirements defined, communicated, and updated to ensure that the supplier understands expectations? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Does a system exist which measures the performance of the supplier and communicates such information to the supplier? (i.e., supplier rating system) | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Have the organization's processes been characterized to identify the critical requirements for the suppliers products? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | the supplier's processes been assessed and considered in the establishment of the requirements? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Have partnerships been established with suppliers, and is assistance provided to ensure that each supplier has the capability to consistently supply conforming products? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Have quality and cycle time metrics and improvement goals been established participatively with the supplier? | | X | | | |
| 7. | Has a system been established with the supplier for identification and verification of corrective action? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Have the requirements for supplier materials been properly characterized and specified to ensure conformance of the product/service to the customer satisfaction requirements? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Is there a supplier certification program or equivalent procured material/service continuous quality improvement program? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Can all personnel who contract suppliers properly reflect appropriate quality improvement programs and status to them? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| COMMENTS |
|--|
| 5.7 Goal setting and reward/incentive programs are being considered for 2014 by the new President Yash Sutariya. |

| 5.9 CALIBRATION CONTROL | | STATUS | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| 1. | Are calibration and preventative maintenance programs in place and documented? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are calibration and maintenance personnel trained? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Is traceability to NIST maintained? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Is quality measurement and control equipment current, effective, and sufficiently integrated with production equipment? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Is the history of quality measurement and control equipment documented? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Has repeatability of measuring devices and inspection or testing processes been established and monitored; are gauge capability studies conducted and GR&R ratios acceptable(<10%)? | | | X | 100 | 90 |
| 7. | Are calibration and preventative maintenance cycles on schedule? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Is the use of non-calibrated equipment for design and production purposes prohibited? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Are tools and fixtures used as criteria or acceptability of product/work fully qualified and identified? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Are calibration intervals defined in accordance with industry standards or manufacturer's recommendations and the calibration history of the equipment? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| 5.10 INTERNAL AUDITS | | STATUS | | | | |
|------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| 1. | Are regular reviews of the product/process conducted and are goals/plans established to continually improve? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are the processes/products properly documented and controlled? Do they include appropriate customer requirements and are they executed in conformance to the documentation? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Are the required quality checks built into the operations within the manufacturing, field installation, and service process, and is the resulting data maintained and promptly acted upon? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are all pertinent methods of statistical quality control properly, effectively and efficiently used? | | | X | 85 | 85 |
| 5. | Does a process change control system exist, and are customers informed of changes made to products and processes with customer approval prior to the change, when required? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Are the operators within the process provided with written work instructions and are they trained? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Is the receipt, handling, storage, packaging and release of all material, including customer provided items, at all stages, specified and controlled to prevent damage or deterioration, and to address obsolete material? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Is there a first in/first out (FIFO) system in place, and is it followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| COMMENTS |
|---|
| 5.9 #6 We do not recognize GR &R ratios? Our calibration system and internal audit systems were designed to support our ISO/TS 16949:2009 certification. |

| 5.11 STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL | | STATUS | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Have the personnel who will be responsible for guiding the implementation of SPC been designated? | | | X | 100 | 50 |
| 2. | Are statistical techniques used to reduce variation in the engineering process before the start of production? | | | X | 85 | 85 |
| 3. | Is the quality system dependent upon process rather than product controls? | | | X | 75 | 75 |
| 4. | Is the capability of critical processes and machines measured and monitored with CPK's >1.5, and targeted with CP of 2.0? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are incapable processes or machines targeted for improvement or replacement? | | | X | 75 | 75 |
| 6. | Is SPC implemented for all critical processes? | | | X | 75 | 75 |
| 7. | Are procedures that control the reaction to out-of-control situations adequate and effective? | | | X | 100 | 95 |
| 8. | Are operators trained in the use of appropriate statistical techniques, and are they properly applying them? | | | X | 65 | 65 |
| 9. | Are advanced problem solving techniques used by engineers to solve problems? (Design of Experiments, planned experimentation, advanced diagnostic tools, etc.) | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Are control charts and other process controls properly implemented? | | | X | 75 | 75 |
| 11. | Is statistical process control being practiced in work centers and are yields being recorded and plotted on a scheduled basis, with respect to upper and lower control limits? | | | X | 75 | 75 |

| 5.12 PROBLEM SOLVING | | STATUS | | | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are employees trained in problem solving techniques, in comparison to the needs of the organization? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Does the organization utilize participative problem solving techniques to identify, measure and resolve internal and external problems? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Are problem solving efforts timely and effective? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are applied resources sufficient to remove problem solving constraints? | | | X | 100 | 95 |
| 5. | Are statistical techniques used for problem solving? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Are quality data used to identify barriers, and to determine the priority of problems? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Is there a policy/procedure that includes the use of problem solving techniques to systematically drive reduction in variability? | | | X | 100 | 95 |

| COMMENTS | |
|----------|--|
| | |

| 5.13 IN-PROCESS CONTROL | | STATUS | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are process capabilities established and maintained on all major processes? (critical parameters) | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are in-process inspections, test operations, and processes properly specified and performed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Are in-process inspection facilities and equipment adequate? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are the results of in-process inspections used in the promotion of effective preventative action and corrective action? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Is preventative maintenance performed on the equipment and facilities? | | | X | 100 | 90 |
| 6. | Are housekeeping procedures adequate and how well are they followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Are process management plans established, and are critical parameters followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Are work areas uncluttered and free of excess work-in-process, supplies, debris, etc? Is the environment conducive to producing quality work? Is proprietary information adequately protected? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Are certifications and in-process inspection results used in making final acceptance decisions? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 10. | Are methods and procedures for the control of metallurgical, chemical, and other special processes established and followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| 5.14 RECEIVING INSPECTION | | STATUS | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are receiving inspection facilities and equipment adequately and properly maintained? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are receiving inspection procedures documented and followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Are receiving inspection results used for corrective and preventive action? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are the procedures for storage and timely disposition of discrepant material in place and followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| COMMENTS |
|---|
| 5.13 #1 Our rigid, multilayer and flex process capabilities are always being reviewed by the MRB to ensure customer growth. The TS16949 program is an example of this commitment. |

| 5.15 MATERIAL HANDLING | | STATUS | | | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Are procured material releases from receiving inspection clearly identified, as to acceptance status? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are procedures to facilitate limited life materials, such as prepreg, in place, properly controlled, and monitored? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Are procured items identified with some means of traceability (serial number, lot number, date code, etc.)? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are procedures and facilities adequate for storage, release and control of materials? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are in-store and in-process materials properly identified and controlled? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Is in-process material protected from corrosion, deterioration, and damage? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| 5.16 NON-CONFORMING MATERIAL CONTROL | | STATUS | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | | | | | |
| 1. | Is non-conforming material identified, segregated from regular production material, and properly dispositioned? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are non-conforming materials properly identified and controlled to prevent inadvertent use? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Is the review and disposition of non-conforming materials defined, and are provisions made for inclusion of the customer in disposition decision? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are procedures for controlling non-conforming materials, and for ensuing corrective action, in place and followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Do procedures provide for material review by a committee consisting of Quality and Engineering (as a minimum), to determine the disposition of non-conforming materials? (deviating from drawings or specification) | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Do supplier's procedures and controls for corrective action prevent recurrence of non-conformances? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Is there a system for coordinating necessary corrective action with purchasing personnel? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Does the corrective action extend to all applicable causes of non-conformance (e.g., design, workmanship, procedures, equipment, etc.)? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| COMMENTS |
|----------|
| |

| 5.17 INSPECTION AND TEST PLAN | | STATUS | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| 1. | Are statistical techniques used in determining the acceptability of finished goods to customer requirements? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are periodic tests conducted to audit reliability and environmental performance of the final product? | NA | | | | |
| 3. | Is CPK tracking performed for critical characteristics, with plans to achieve CPK = 1.5 with a target of CP of 2.0? | | | X | 75 | 75 |
| 4. | Is root cause failure analysis performed for internal and external failures, and is appropriate corrective action implemented? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are test and inspection personnel trained in the procedures of their operations, and are those procedures being followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Is the new product/technology/service, as produced by the processes, verified to meet all customer satisfaction requirements? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| 5.18 PRODUCT INSPECTION/FINAL AUDIT | | STATUS | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| 1. | Are final product acceptance procedures documented and followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Are all specific customer product audits conducted, as required? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Are inspectors trained for the tasks performed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are flow charts or milestones developed with checkpoints readily available? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Is a system in place which denotes inspection performed; e.g., use of initials, stamps, labels, bar codes, etc., affixed to production documentation? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Is a quality system established and maintained for control of product/production documentation? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Is "accept/reject" criteria defined and available for use? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Is a final audit performed to ensure that all required verifications and tests, from receipt of materials through point of product completion, have been accomplished? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 9. | Are packing and order checking procedures documented and followed? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

COMMENTS

| 5.19 TOOLING INSPECTION, HANDLING, & | STATUS |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
|--------------------------------------|--------|

| STORAGE | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| 1. | Are temperature, humidity, laminar flow controls in place to prevent contamination, and to assure dimensional stability? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Do operators use hairnets, gloves & lab coats in all photolab and photoexposure areas? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Are work instructions and related forms in place to control all applicable tooling requirements, as stated in the customer's purchase order? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Are customer provided artworks controlled with regard to handling, storage, revision control and relationship to converted production phototools (working films)? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Are production phototools (working films) controlled with regard to handling, storage, use life, and relationship to customer purchase order? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | Are customer provided artworks and production phototools (working films) inspected, including dimensional checks? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 7. | Are all tools, fixtures, and other devices, used for tooling inspection and control, maintained under the calibration control procedure? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 8. | Are records showing initial acceptance, periodic checks, and any needs for rework and/or modification available? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

| 5.20 CORRECTIVE ACTION | | STATUS | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM | | Not Applicable | Not Started | Approach Developed | Percent Deployed | Percent Results |
| 1. | Are final acceptance inspection results used for corrective and preventative action? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 2. | Is root-cause analysis performed for non-conformances? This includes, but is not limited to, non-conformances (problems) caused by suppliers, found/caused "in-house" during processing, or those reported by the customer. | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 3. | Is positive action taken to prevent recurrence of problems, and are there documented reports/records of each occasion? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 4. | Do procedures and systems provide for ensuring that replies are made to customer requests for correction action within the time limit specified? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 5. | Is corrective action controlled and documented for all applicable work centers? | | | X | 100 | 100 |
| 6. | When corrections are made, is their effectiveness subsequently reviewed and monitored? | | | X | 100 | 100 |

COMMENTS

Our responses to section 5.1 through 5.20 reflect our overall commitment to support our ISO/TS 16949:2009 certification.

SECTION 6 (CHECK ONE IN EACH LINE THAT APPLIES)

MANUFACTURING HISTORY (See Section 2 Site Capability)

DATE COMPLETED
6/28/2013

Please complete as many history profiles so that the total descriptions of products you manufacture account for production orders that reflect 70% of your business. History profiles are for board or board family (board types may be grounded together if they are similar).

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| BOARD TYPE Flex/with stiffner | DATE OF ORDER 6/10/2013 | MATERIAL Polyimide/FR-4 | HISTORY # AC12382-01 PN 6360Y1091_B |
| VIA TYPE PTH | PRODUCTION QUANTITY 105 boards | TOTAL YEARLY PRODUCTION % 5 | |

Dimensions in millimeters (inches in brackets)

| BOARD | | | HOLES | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| BOARD SIZE DIAGONAL | TOTAL BOARD THICKNESS | NUMBER CONDUCTIVE LAYERS | DIA DRILLED HOLES | TOTAL PTH TOL (MAX-MIN) | LOCATION TOL DTP |
| <input type="checkbox"/> <250 [<10.00] | <input type="checkbox"/> <1,0 [<.040] | X 1-4 [1-4] | X 0,5 [>.020] | <input type="checkbox"/> >0,250 [> .010] | <input type="checkbox"/> >0,50 [>.020] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 250 [10.00] | <input type="checkbox"/> 1,0 [.040] | <input type="checkbox"/> 5-6 [5-6] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,5 [.020] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,250 [.010] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,50 [.020] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 350 [14.00] | X 1,6 [.060] | <input type="checkbox"/> 7-8 [7-8] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,4 [.016] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,200 [.008] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,40 [.016] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 450 [17.50] | <input type="checkbox"/> 2,0 [.080] | <input type="checkbox"/> 9-12 [9-12] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,35 [.014] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,150 [.006] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,30 [.012] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 550 [21.50] | <input type="checkbox"/> 2,5 [.100] | <input type="checkbox"/> 13-16 [13-16] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,30 [.012] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,125 [.005] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,25 [.010] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 650 [25.50] | <input type="checkbox"/> 3,5 [.135] | <input type="checkbox"/> 17-20 [17-20] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,25 [.010] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,100 [.004] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,20 [.008] |
| X 750 [29.50] | <input type="checkbox"/> 5,0 [.200] | <input type="checkbox"/> 21-24 [21-24] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,20 [.008] | X 0,075 [.003] | X 0,15 [.006] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 850 [33.50] | <input type="checkbox"/> 6,5 [.250] | <input type="checkbox"/> 25-28 [25-28] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,15 [.006] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,050 [.002] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,10 [.004] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> >850 [>33.50] | <input type="checkbox"/> >6,5 [>.250] | <input type="checkbox"/> >28 [>28] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,15 [.006] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,050 [<.002] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,10 [<.004] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

CONDUCTORS

| INTERNAL ELEC CLEARANCE (MIN) | INTERNAL COND WIDTH (MIN) | INTERNAL PROCESS ALLOWANCE | EXTERNAL ELEC CLEARANCE (MIN) | EXTERNAL COND WIDTH (MIN) | EXTERNAL PROCESS ALLOWANCE | FEATURE LOCATION DTP |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| X >0,350 [>.014] | X >0,250 [>.010] | <input type="checkbox"/> >0,100 [>.004] | X >0,350 [>.014] | <input type="checkbox"/> >0,250 [>.010] | <input type="checkbox"/> >0,100 [>.004] | <input type="checkbox"/> >0,50 [>.020] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 0,350 [.014] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,250 [.010] | X 0,100 [.004] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,350 [.014] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,250 [.010] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,100 [.004] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,50 [.020] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 0,250 [.010] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,200 [.008] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,075 [.003] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,250 [.010] | X 0,200 [.008] | X 0,075 [.003] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,40 [.016] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 0,200 [.008] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,150 [.006] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,050 [.002] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,200 [.008] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,150 [.006] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,050 [.002] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,30 [.012] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 0,150 [.005] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,125 [.005] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,040 [.0015] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,150 [.006] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,125 [.005] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,040 [.0015] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,25 [.010] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 0,125 [.005] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,100 [.004] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,030 [.0012] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,125 [.005] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,100 [.004] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,030 [.0012] | X 0,20 [.008] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 0,100 [.004] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,075 [.003] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,025 [.001] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,100 [.004] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,075 [.003] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,025 [.001] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,15 [.006] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 0,075 [.003] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,050 [.002] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,020 [.0008] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,075 [.003] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,050 [.002] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,020 [.0008] | <input type="checkbox"/> 0,10 [.004] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> <0,075 [<.003] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,050 [<.002] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,020 [<.0008] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,075 [<.003] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,050 [<.002] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,020 [<.008] | <input type="checkbox"/> <0,10 [<.004] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

SECTION 7

DATE COMPLETED

6/28/2013

IDENTIFICATION OF PREVIOUS AUDITS (Optional)

Please complete as many forms as you feel reflect the intensity of your customer visits.

| | |
|--|---|
| COMPANY AUDITORS National Controls Corp 1725 Western Dr. West Chicago, IL 60185-1864 | DATE OF AUDIT June 25, 2013 |
| AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS 2 Member team/Engineering/QA Not available per customer request | AUDITOR REMARKS Pass-no exceptions |
| | SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT IPC-6012 and IPC-A- 600 |
| LENGHT OF AUDIT 6 hours | |
| TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACTED AT N/A | |
| COMPANY AUDITORS GHSP 1250 S. Beechtree Street Grand Haven, MI 49417 | DATE OF AUDIT 11/16/2012 |
| AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS Chris Collier Kelly Lyle Tina Pruitt | AUDITOR REMARKS PASS- capacity verified |
| | SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT IPC-6012 |
| LENGHT OF AUDIT 1 Day | |
| TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACTED AT N/A | |
| COMPANY AUDITORS GIC LTD Graphics 7 Industrial Circle 100 N. Sixth St Kirkland, Il 60146 | DATE OF AUDIT May 15, 2012 |
| AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS Mark Meuchie Dave Adams | AUDITOR REMARKS PASS |
| | SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT |

IPC- 6012

LENGHT OF AUDIT
6 hours

TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACT AT
N/A

*REPEAT THIS FORM AS NECESSARY

SECTION 8

FINANCIAL REVIEW (OPTIONAL)

| |
|-----------------------------|
| DATE COMPLETED 7/01/2013 |
|-----------------------------|

Please complete the following financial information that coincides with the company description and site information provided in section 1.

COMPANY FINANCIAL DESCRIPTION

| | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| LEGAL NAME Alpha Circuit Corporation | | |
| TAXPAYER ID NUMBER 300778852 | DUNS NUMBER 033156951 | TRADING SYMBOL N/A |
| ANNUAL SALES 2012 \$4,900,000 | PRIOR YEAR 2011 \$4,800,000 | YEAR-TO-DATE \$2,654,878 |
| FISCAL YEAR | | |
| BANK | ACCOUNT NUMBER | |
| BANK ADDRESS | STATE | ZIP |
| PROVINCE | COUNTRY | |
| BANK TELEPHONE NUMBER | FAX NUMBER | |

COMMENTS
Banking information is considered to be confidential and is not released unless written authorization is provided by Monica Vaghani/Alpha Circuit AP Manager.

SITE FINANCIAL DESCRIPTION

| | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|
| SITE NAME | | |
| TAXPAYER ID NUMBER | DUNS NUMBER | TRADING SYMBOL |
| ANNUAL SALES | PRIOR YEAR | YEAR-TO-DATE |
| FISCAL YEAR | | |
| BANK | ACCOUNT NUMBER | |
| BANK ADDRESS | STATE | ZIP |
| PROVINCE | COUNTRY | |
| BANK TELEPHONE NUMBER | FAX NUMBER | |

COMMENTS

SECTION 9

MQP ELECTRONIC EDITING

This MS Word template comes with editable fields. IPC has made this electronic document available for ease of completing, updating, and filing the MQP, as well as to give the laminate manufacturer and customer a common interface. Using the template enables laminate manufacturers to maintain several customer specific files without the endless stream of paperwork.

Editable fields are highlighted in gray. To complete the fields in the template, use the TAB key to toggle from field to field, entering the information as instructed in the introductory text for each section.

The developers of this MQP strongly suggest the person at the laminate manufacturing facility responsible for creating and maintaining the MQP write protect the file to be sent.